

INSPIRATION SERVICES CENTER COMMITTEE

MINUTES

October 5, 2022 | 5:30 P.M via Zoom

Attendees: Rick Coldsnow, Russ Paper, Rick Forsman, Rea Heatherington, Billy Jackson, Tom Norton.
Members of the public: Aaron Curtiss, Dave Svetz, Elisabeth Walker, Kevin Buehner, Sam and Nancy Osborne, Steve Prochazka, Ryan Fields. Public Alliance: AJ Beckman, Kate Innes.

Meeting was called to order at 5:35 p.m.

The agenda was approved and the minutes from the Sept. 7th meeting were approved.

Design Status

The surveyors were at the pool site last week. An update has been requested from the architect regarding status of subcontractor work. There is a rough schedule indicating site development plans could be submitted to the city as soon as early November. CAD files from Calibre and the surveyors are expected by October 12th. Biweekly meetings are suggested to take place from that point. We anticipate a public hearing and/or neighborhood meeting and an approval process lasting at least two months.

Rick Coldsnow presented a site option comparison summary matrix. Costs and requirements are organized into a matrix format and the document that has been posted to the committee webpage. Tom Norton pointed out that the cost differential between sites A and B is over \$200,000, which could result in a one-time assessment approaching \$150 per household. Russ Paper pointed out that any sites that border Douglas County would likely trigger complex and/or contentious intergovernmental agreement negotiations.

Rick Forsman reported that he and David Besel met with the Finance Committee to prepare cost estimates for the 2023 budget. There are still uncertainties around location, timing, construction costs, and some other factors that we don't have control over, such as city approvals. Russ Paper added that furnishings can be treated as capital improvements. Overage above the \$1,510,000 in the sub bond can be spent from a separate capital improvements fund.

Public Involvement Update

A two-question survey was prepared by the Board and sent to the community via eBlast with results now posted on the committee webpage. Rick Forsman addressed critique of the survey. He noted that the results from this survey and the Common Area Committee survey indicated that residents overall are not in favor of spending more in fees. The District will be responsible to pay the cost of any public meetings with the city, these can typically cost 3,300

Public Comment

Elisabeth Walker commented that minimizing the impact on residents that live near the pool site doesn't seem to have been seriously considered by the Board. She is curious why a smaller building or different configuration or compromise can't be made to protect property values.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:09 p.m.

##